

CANDOUR

Founded by A. K. Chesterton in 1953 to defend National Sovereignty against the menace of International Finance
Vol. XXXV, No. 10 Registered at the G.P.O. as a Newspaper October, 1984.

The British Views-Letter

WAY OF LIFE GUARANTEED—AND HOWE!

by ROSINE DE BOUNEVIALLE

So the joke we have been laughing at for weeks every time it has been mentioned has actually been written into a treaty! Hong Kong is to retain its way of life, economic and social, for fifty years — a promise signed by Sir Geoffrey Howe on behalf of a Government which habitually fails to keep its own election promises dating from as long ago as the previous election. The treaty is subject to approval by a Parliament which includes the arch election-promise-breaker Heath and is headed by the treaty-maker Thatcher who has conspicuously failed to keep *her* election promise on trade union law. The fact that the *other* party to the affair is Communist would make a treaty of any length a joke; so it is a cynical joke on both sides, since "our" side is perfectly well aware how much confidence can be reposed in Communist agreements. But when the arrangement is for a hard-line Communist government to maintain a *non*-Communist way of life (including the specifically-mentioned legal system) in what will be, internationally recognised, its own territory . . . well, behind its poker-face Peking must be laughing as much as we are.

That is not the end of the joke, though, fashionably multi-faceted as it is. One clause in the treaty provides that "Hong Kong will remain a financial centre". Such a great achievement of our diplomacy, since naturally the Communists require precisely that. Equally, of course, this arrangement is *all* that matters to "the people who matter" on "our" side — and don't think most of *them* are in Britain — or even British!

Remember Rhodesia?

It was interesting to hear the clause on the "financial centre" highlighted whereas, to date, to our knowledge anyway, no mention at all has been made of guarantees on *religion*; not that any such would be worth any more than the rest of this "scrap of (multi-coloured) paper". It is a cosy Wall Street-Communist accord and the fact that it was the Thatcher Government who acted as broker adds another facet to this grim joke. Remember Rhodesia? A Thatcher Government dealt with her. Fifty year settlement? Ha, ha! Tell that to time-honoured Lancaster House! We make no apology for recalling yet again the history of that infamy.

Six points — drastic ones, one might truthfully say, devastating ones — were put to the Ian Smith government, compliance with which would satisfy "our" lot, it was said. Naively, or traitorously (to their own country), the Ian Smith politicians implemented all six points, but to no avail. Westminster was still manifestly not satisfied.

The crunch, as far as the Thatcher integrity was concerned, came with the election conducted as laid down by "the Mother of Parliaments". There was even a Conservative parliamentary team present in Rhodesia who, in all honesty, reported that the election had been conducted fully as required, so far as was at all possible in the aftermath of bush war. Throughout most of the territory, the poll had been quite *a la* Westminster, but in some locations, in spite of the best endeavours of the Rhodesians and the contingent of guarantors sent out from England for the occasion, the ill-will of the Rhodesian Government's armed enemies had hampered a totally placid expression of democracy. The courage and determination of many black voters, in the face of even such danger, was widely noted.

Bishop Muzorewa was the electoral choice: a new, black, Prime Minister. But *still* Conservative Westminster was not satisfied — Muzorewa had only been chosen by the Rhodesian population. That wouldn't do at all. Another election was enforced, this time to be overseen by unarmed British bobbies imported, we can only suppose, to emphasize Westminster's pacific approval. This time, cock-a-hoop, the armed terrorists rampaged almost at will. The result — ensured perhaps less by intimidation than by the unmistakable message conveyed to the African electorate that the Powers-That-Be demanded a militant-leftish-anti-white victory — was the election of a Marxist government. Further result: Westminster was appeased at last and British tax-payers were committed to providing "aid" to yet another "Third World" disaster area.

This Government that bulldozed Rhodesia into ruins *now* claims to have concluded a favourable fifty-year deal with a Marxist government on behalf of a *non*-Marxist society (including, as it does, a mass of refugees from Red China).

Who would care to bet on Hong Kong keeping its present way of life even from now to 1997, when

Red China takes over and the fifty years begin? Anyone who has understood what the latest proposed European Union means wouldn't bet a decimalised penny on *Britain* keeping *her* way of life until then! Of course, that may give validity to the treaty after

all: Hong Kong will keep its 1997 way of life!

On the other hand, God being great, both our own *uncherished* way of life and Communism may have vanished unlamented by 1997. "Thirteen" is not always bad news.

THAT ALLEGED POPULATION EXPLOSION

by DON BELL

Among the many gifts and donations handed out yearly by Uncle Sam, there are several which have to do with population control. 19 million dollars go to the United Nations Fund for Population Activities. Another 11 million dollars are given to the International Planned Parenthood Federation. Not too much as money is counted these days, but the money was being spent to promote, among other things, abortion. So, just before the U.N. World Population Conference began in August in Mexico City, President Reagan issued an order that no longer would the United States contribute any money to organisations, private or otherwise, which promote the murder of pre-born babies.

Backing up the anti-abortion stand of the Reagan administration was the Republican Platform Committee which has written a strong anti-abortion plank into its party platform. In contrast to all of this, the National Democratic Party approves of abortion, lesbian rights, and all that goes with them. Mondale's running mate, Geraldine Ferraro, is a staunch pro-abortionist for everyone except herself. She supports federal funding of abortions on the grounds that "the cost of putting an unwanted child through the system outweighs the cost of funding those procedures." That is, abortions are cheaper than welfare. She is soundly condemned by her own Church to which she affirms affiliation. Archbishop John J. O'Connor commented: "I don't see how a Catholic in good conscience can vote for a candidate who supports abortion . . . I don't see how it can be justified. To me it is an issue of life and death."

Preparing People For New Order

Infanticide was the chief point of controversy when this United Nations World Population Conference was held in Mexico City. And, when any such public discussion is made, it becomes necessary to mention the work of that exclusive, super-secret cabal which promotes the New World Order and intends to prepare the kind of people who deserve to live under that New Order. We refer to the Club of Rome which, in its way, is just as important in the overall Conspiracy as are the Council on Foreign Relations,

the Trilateral Commission, the Bilderbergers, *et al.*

When the Club of Rome was organised in 1968 by the Italian financier and One World advocate Aurelio Peccei, its executive committee of seven decided that the Club's first task would be to scare people into believing that a population explosion was imminent, that feeding the masses would be impossible, and that, therefore, certain measures would have to be taken to limit the world's population. A series of reports was drafted and circulated to those considered to be the world's leaders. The first of these reports was titled *The Limits To Growth* and dealt with mankind's expansion in a finite planet. Then came a report on *Mankind At The Turning Point*, which dealt with population control. Next came *Reshaping The International Order*, which was a new economic programme similar to the one presently being promoted by the United Nations and the Socialist International. Another work was called *How To Feed Eight Billion People By The Beginning Of The 21st Century*. The sum and substance of it all: if people could be scared into believing an overpopulated, underfed world was imminent, then the people would be eager to accept whatever suggestions and rulings were promulgated by the Club of Rome and its associate promoters of the New World Order.

It may seem ironic that one section of those promoting the World Community Utopiate keeps telling us that a nuclear war is going to make the world uninhabitable for anyone; while another group of Utopiate Planners tries to convince us that there will be so many of us on this tiny planet that starvation will be the fate of all of us, unless we do as the self-elected leaders dictate, which would include injections, abortions, peaceful extermination of millions. Like playing both ends against the middle: either no population at all, or too much population. The group trying to scare the world into doing away with all nuclear weapons and all nuclear power plants (except those in countries controlled by the Communists) have done quite a job on the people, especially the people of Western Europe. But the group trying to scare the world because of a coming

population explosion have not been quite so successful.

So, to promote the overpopulation cause, representatives from 150 different countries, including the United States, met under the auspices of the United Nations for a week of population explosion talk in Mexico. While the people of the United States were being entertained with news of electioneering and the exploits of one Gerry Ferraro, what could develop into a far more important story was being hatched south of the border. The whole idea was given quite a push when the Chairman of the World Bank, A. W. Clausen, issued a special report saying that "in some countries, development may not be possible at all unless slower population growth can be achieved." Then, of course, President Carter and his administration, always eager to promote some new U.N. Declaration of Human Rights, also promoted the idea of a U.N. Declaration on Population Growth.

Asian Solution

Actually, some of the countries most concerned with too much population have been doing things on their own. For example, there was a recent study released by the Peoples Republic of China National Academy of Sciences. The study acknowledged that 27 million people died in China during that "great leap forward" in the late 1950s and early 1960s. The Chinese authorities have for the past several years limited families to just one child. Forced abortion would eliminate any violations of the rule. But overpopulation was still a problem, and more girls are born than boys. So, an estimated 60,000 baby girls are murdered every year. This is one of the programmes that has been supported by U.S. taxpayer funds. An attempt to delete these funds from our foreign aid bill was attempted in the House of Representatives, but was defeated by a group of liberals. Whether President Reagan's orders to kill all such funds included this one we are not sure, since Red China has been receiving most favoured nation treatment for the past six years.

Several other Asian countries have adopted slightly more humane treatment of babies. In Indonesia, families with no more than two children are eligible for special education scholarships. In Sri Lanka, families that agree to plan their families along lines specified by the U.N. get a special bonus of between five and ten six-months-old chickens free of charge and are permitted to sell the eggs. According to *The Christian Science Monitor*, some 30 African, Asian and Latin American countries "are testing programmes such as these to find out if incentives in cash or kind can help lower their population growth." In all these countries where bonuses are paid not

to have children, it obviously follows that abortions are common in order to meet the law and gain chickens, money or tax relief.

As a result of the U.S.-supported, U.N.-sponsored global family planning programme, population growth has actually been reduced in the past decade. *The Christian Science Monitor*, which published a special five-part series on the "world's population explosion", asserts in one paragraph that "world growth rates fell in the decade to 1984. China, India, Thailand, Indonesia, Sri Lanka and other nations brought growth rates down with successful family planning programmes." But in another paragraph in the same article the newspaper states that "global population has jumped from 3.9 billion to 4.7 billion. The third world alone now contains 3.6 billion people and the United Nations says it will leap to 4.8 billion by the year 2000." So, here is a paradox: the world's population is receding, and yet it is still gaining and there will be another 1.2 billion people on the earth by the year 2000!

As delegates from 149 countries met to talk about an alleged population explosion, U.S. Delegate James F. Buckley insisted that there is no crisis, that the trouble is economic and that "as opportunities and the standard of living rise, the birthrate falls." But six other members of the U.S. delegation disregarded Buckley, talked about abortion and promised to go back to Washington and work, in effect, to provide free abortions on a worldwide scale, America footing the bill.

Of course, Buckley was right in saying there is no crisis. This over-population theory is a hoax designed to promote political control over the peoples of the world. A noted geographer, Dr. George F. Carter, said 20 years ago that people are talking nonsense when they speak of over-population. He then pointed out, and the trend has continued to this day: "Men are leaving the land and pouring into the cities. Scotland is emptying out and the wild moors are wilder than ever. The Hebrides now have many uninhabited islands — islands where men have lived for more than 5,000 years. Ireland has half as many people as 100 years ago. India is not overcrowded by other nations standards: India — 300 people per square mile; England — 600; and Holland 800." Why, then, if there is no population problem, is there this population explosion scare? The Club of Rome has an answer that is not revealed in its public reports. What is being presented as a great humanitarian plan to save the world for selected future generations is nothing more than a political plot. By means of legislation, force and financial lures, a state can exercise its power over the popula-

tion to control their behaviour, their rate of birth, their life and death.

It is noteworthy that this 149-nation World Population Conference finally boiled down to an argument over the merits of abortion, and who should finance the murder of millions. Echoing the Reagan administration order, Delegate Buckley said Washington would cut off its contributions to "private agencies that perform or actively promote abortion." According to *Newsweek*, of the 149 states represented, only Costa Rica, Chile and the Vatican voiced their support for the American position. We keep hearing a Voice, saying:

"But who shall offend one of these little ones . . . it were better for him that a millstone were hanged about his neck, and that he were drowned in the depth of the sea. Woe unto the world because of offences! For it must needs be that offences come; but woe to that man by whom the offence cometh!"

Don Bell is Editor of "Don Bell Reports", a weekly newsletter available from P.O. Box 2223, Palm Beach, Florida 33480, U.S.A., for an annual airmail subscription of fifty dollars.

FORCED DEATH CHOICE

A Chinese woman was forced to choose which of her sons she wanted to keep when she gave birth to twins in the Guangdong province recently. When the mother could not and would not decide, one of her babies was taken from her and put to death. This case of officially sanctioned infanticide was just one described by Jean Doyle at the International Youth Life rally in Dublin, reported in *The Universe* on September 21st. Thousands of Chinese women, she said, were being compelled to submit to abortions, even in advanced stages of pregnancy, as part of a programme heavily sponsored by the International Planned Parenthood Federation. The IPPF got 25 per cent of its 55 million dollar budget from the United States. Britain gave £3 million a year, a figure due to be increased next year, Miss Doyle said.

STARK NACOD EFFRONTERY

The latest proposed strike, as we go to press, voted for by eighty per cent of the trade union's members, is by the mine personnel responsible for maintenance and safety in the pits, their grievance being that if they fail to turn up for duty because they wouldn't cross a National Union of Mineworkers' picket line they lose their pay. Of course, they are wrong. If we were to accept the sacred precept of trade unionism — "never cross a picket line" — the Union Boss would be King. With the flimsiest of support and flimsiest of picket line, and no need even of violence, he would outbid all opposition; all those in the industry who think he is betraying them, or whatever, would be checkmated inevitably and in advance by their self-imposed shackles: "My opinion must, in all cases, submit to the striker's opinion. If he is a picket, his opinion automatically cancels and overrides my opinion."

In the present case, the pit men are saying: "If I turn back and refuse to cross a trade union picket line, my employer must pay me the same money as if I had come in and done my job." If these people had called on the National Coal Board to make use of the courts (which they haven't done) against aggressive and illegal picketting, and demanded really effective police action to safeguard their right to do their jobs and earn their pay, that would have been quite another matter. But eighty per cent of these Nacods-and-sods want trade union solidarity and nationalised employers' (i.e. tax-payers') pay, too!

ARTHUR PURNELL.

TAPES FROM FOREST HOUSE

A.K. Speaks

A C60 tape of A. K. Chesterton speaking on the menace of International Finance. £3 (£5 overseas).

A.K. in Rhodesia

A C120 tape of A. K. Chesterton and Leslie Greene debating the perils of multi-racialism with Col. David Stirling in Salisbury, Rhodesia, in July 1957. £4 (£6 overseas).

A.K. in South Africa

A C120 tape of A. K. Chesterton in Cape Province in 1964 addressing the Candour League of South Africa on "The Survival of the White Races". £4 (£6 overseas).

THE TYRANNY OF PERPETUAL DEBT

by THE HON. O. G. ALLANSON-WINN

"The economics of usury must be replaced by what nations can produce" — Lord Portsmouth.

In this article I shall try to expose the causes of two complementary evils, usury and debt, which are born of greed, perpetuated by governments and suffered by the people — the seemingly unrepresented victims who have failed to recognise the true cause of inflation, which is usury.

I know of no greater assistance to the would-be exposers of what is sometimes referred to as the "bankers' conspiracy" than the use of short, selected extracts from statements of those who have, in some cases, spent most of their mentally active lives trying to uncover a conspiracy the history of which reads as a saga of deceit and exploitation. As some of the quotations I use refer to the conspirators as "banks", I must make it clear that I do not presume to criticise those respectable institutions we usually refer to as such.

Any attempt to expose this plot to rule all peoples by a fantastic monetary system which grips those peoples in perpetual debt is often treated with much suspicion as being "fascist" or "Communist", and certainly anti-Capitalist, whereas the system itself has nearly destroyed private enterprise Capitalism and, if it had not been for the system, Fascism and Communism would have had no purpose. Communism had been purchased and managed by the conspirators, headed up in Wall Street, New York, and has been used by them to destroy their avowed enemy, Fascism. Countries of the West are now being led into a One World Socialist Imperium under the false shelter of a diseased Democracy which is almost completely controlled by a small number of Monopoly Capitalist empires.

Usury In England

Without boring readers with the details of how foreign finance plotted Cromwell's Revolution in England, I will briefly explain the vital tactic of exploitation after William of Orange landed at Torbay in 1688, to be crowned King of England the following year — England's rightful king, James II, had been forced to flee to France. It was in 1694 that the claws of foreign usury became firmly embedded in Anglo-Saxon flesh. Two men, Patterson and Houlben, agents of Continental usurers, were granted the Charter of the Bank of England and purchased £1,250,000 of government bonds with foreign money.

To assure the servicing of these bonds, duty was imposed on certain imports. A National Debt was thus established. One cannot be surprised to discover that after four years, that is in 1698, the Debt stood at £16 million.

We come to 1815, after Britain had been involved in wars in Europe and America, and the National Debt had reached the £885 million mark. The usurers now had real power, but seemed content for the remainder of that century to involve the nation in only one profitable enterprise in the Crimea. However, soon after the turn of the century they got very busy and in due course had Britain heavily committed in two world wars. Since they had taken control by then of most of the arms and chemical industries, their prospects indeed looked good. By 1945, the National Debt stood at £22½ billion, which cost the taxpayer 445 million annually in interest.

Here, let us move over the Atlantic and read what some Americans have to report about the so-called "bankers"; but first I will give a very short summary to explain how the same usurious claws grasped the American body.

During the Civil War, President Lincoln had been sorely pressed for money and after the issue of his famous "Greenbacks" (and much against his wishes) the National Banking Act was passed in 1865. This Act permitted five or more persons to operate "national banks" with the purchase of one million dollars of government bonds at 4 per cent interest. This news quickly reached England, whence was written a most revealing letter to certain financiers in New York. Here is a short extract:

"It [the Banking Act] gives the National Bank almost complete control of the national finance; the few who understand the system will be so interested in its profits, or so dependent on its favours, that there will be no opposition from that class. While, on the other hand, the great body of the people, mentally incapable of comprehending the tremendous advantages that capital derives from the system, will bear its burden without complaint, and perhaps without even suspecting that the system is inimical to their interests."

On April 14th, 1865, a few days before the repeal of the National Banking Act was to be presented to Congress, Abraham Lincoln was assassinated.

Early this century, the claws of usury looked like losing their grip, so in 1913, when Woodrow Wilson

was President, the Federal Reserve Act was passed. This Act appointed a consortium of private banking corporations as the sole agency to issue money. To be brief, it now costs the American taxpayer many billions of dollars of interest annually to service their National Debt. The system is in direct contravention of the American Constitution.

This is what Congressman Patmen had to say to the Ways and Means Committee of the House of Representatives on February 13th, 1943: "The private banking corporations do not hire their own money to the Government; they hire only the Government's money, credit, to the Government, and collect the interest annually. It is now approximately nine billion dollars annually. They got 250 billion dollars in U.S. Bonds gratis. The people may pay for the Bonds, too."

Another Congressman, Lindbergh, father of the famous aviator, reported thus: "The banks, through the Clearing House Association, use only 47 dollars and 80 cents of actual cash on each million they handle. This means that the borrowers (the people) are paying 999.952 dollars and 20 cents out of each million in credit in circulation, that does not exist."

Relying on a cynical sense of humour to stress his point, this is what Henry Ford had to say: "It is well enough that the people of the nation do not understand our banking and monetary system, for if they did I believe there would be a revolution before tomorrow morning."

Napoleon was an enemy of the financiers, and these few words exemplify his opinion of their methods: "When a government is dependent for money on bankers, the bankers and not the leaders of government control the situation. Money has no motherland, financiers are without patriotism or decency, their sole object is gain." I feel I must enlarge on what he said by pointing out that because of their international linkage there is no more fruitful period for their operations than wartime. The greater the war the greater the profit, for their investment in both adversaries assures maximum gain, irrespective of the outcome.

Tactics Of Inflation

One could fill pages on the plotters of international finance in the so-called democratic countries of the West with quotations from statesmen, economists and historians. Here is something from Hilaire Belloc: "Those who omit the influence of the money power omit the one thing which renders their judgement worthless." What influence has this power on inflation? The Radcliffe Report determined that "only a government can arrest inflation". How

true. Money should be essentially a national commodity protected from faked gold prices and the clammy hands of usury within and without the country.

Inflation should simply be described as the issue of inconvertible legal-tender money; this is sometimes referred to as "soft money" until it has been exchanged for service or production, when it enters the economy as "hard money". Were soft money issued by the Government, through the banks and not by the banks, and returned to the Government, inflation could be controlled. That is pure Social Credit. By returning the original issue to the Government, the bank's client has had the use of the loan, the bank has gained by the amount of interest charged to the client and the people have not been robbed.

Now let us see what happens when the Government is the borrower. The Government borrows soft money and issues bonds at interest, as security, to the bank; this is National Debt and must be serviced by the taxpayer. A Government that permits a consortium of private banks to issue inconvertible legal tender money for the purpose of usury must be considered to be acting more in the interests of the banks than of the taxpayer, who suffers from the inevitable inflation. In a court of law, would this be termed collusion?

These few lines are from Sir Walter Scott's *Life of Napoleon*: "These financiers used the Government as bankrupt prodigals are treated by usurious money-lenders who, feeding their extravagances with the one hand, with the other wring out of their ruined fortunes the most unreasonable recompenses for their advances. By a succession of these ruinous loans, and the various rights granted to guarantee them, the whole finances of France were brought to total confusion."

If a democratic industrial country should refuse to bow the knee to the international powers, inflation is purposely induced as a first tactic. The destructive sequence is something like this: inflation is accentuated by the use of Communist cells within the trade unions; the democratic system is weakened by party fragmentation to a state of impotence when the agents of the conspirators take over the key positions in government, the civil service and the information media; then comes the physical revolution when carefully trained bands of thugs spread chaos; the economy is in ruins and the new order takes over.

In England during the last two generations, we have witnessed usury's previously discredited image being dressed in the habit of a respectability quite unmerited in view of its sordid activities. One cannot view optimistically the future of our nation.

ENGLAND

Since William came to England
And broke the Saxon spears,
No sword has conquered England
For nigh a thousand years.

Yet captive now is England,
Her neck beneath their heel
Who won with words an England
They could not win with steel.

A pall hangs over England
Of calumny and lies
And whoso speaks for England
Some alien decries.

Can no one stir up England?
Can none with tongue and pen
Shake up and wake up England
And rouse the English men?

Till with a shout of "England!"
Above the wordy din
They drive right out of England
The enemy within.

COLIN HURRY.

THANK GOD FOR THE WOMEN!

We have had a fine response to our last issue, "Defeat This Treason", from the valiant female activists in the anti-Common Market movement, with requests for posters and *Candours*. Why, oh why, are the men so silent? Let's hear from you *this* month!

TREASON!

SAVE OUR COUNTRY FROM EUROPEAN SLAVERY

Alert the public to the fact that supporting
European Union is treason.

Send for our special poster.

Only £1 for 20 from

CANDOUR PUBLISHING COMPANY

LIGHT AND BITTER

by JANUS

Safe As The Rock Of Gibraltar

The 50-year insurance policy sold to the Hong Kongers should ensure that those on the island, at least, *feel* sold. Only Kowloon and the New Territories were leased, the island being as British as Gibraltar. Of course, neither H.K. nor Gib. are quite as indisputably British, total population and all, as the Falklands, but I think I'll have a long wait for a 50-year guarantee of *their* way of life! The next *con-* session, I suppose will be the handing over of Gibraltar to Democratic Spain, with apologies to Madrid for having sent fire-ships into the Armada, which had clearly sailed right past and away from England, and was anchored well outside any reasonable British exclusion zone! "Anyone for bowls — on Plymouth Howe?"

Chinks In Our Armour?

If the Hong Kong Chinese are dopey enough to accept the London-Peking Treaty, why should we worry? Well, we shan't, as long as those H.K.C.s don't want to come *here* rather than be ruled in their own country by their own people. Yet if they *do* start to arrive, what's the betting they would claim — and be Establishment-supported in their claim — that a Chinaman was every bit as good as an Englishman, and in England should have equal rights and immediate access to all available benefits, and more, to which an Englishman is entitled? The joke has gone far enough, and was always on us anyway.

Re-writing History

Loony-leftist Lambeth Council has voted to rename its Rhodesia Road "Zimbabwe Road". Residents unanimously declared themselves against the change, their street having been developed in 1889, the year Rhodesia was founded. But, presumably, they are mere "racists" in the eyes of the zealous councillors, so their views may be dismissed in the drive to edit out awkward historical events. What next will occur in Ken Livingstone's mad, Marxist metropolis? Shall we see the name of Trafalgar Square change so as not to offend *les Francais*? Will Shooters Hill disappear to pacify the likes of Msgr. Kent?

17th antiquarian book catalogue available now.
S.a.e. to Albert Elder, 1 Gannet House, 6 Hartington
Place, Eastbourne, Sussex.

"FORCED MEDICATION"

In its edition of September 21st, the *Petersfield Herald* carried the following report as its lead story under the headline: **ADDING FLUORIDE IS FORCED MEDICATION.**

"Fluoridation of the water supply would be 'forced medication by the back door,' angry Petersfield residents claimed on Monday. At the Portsmouth and South East Hampshire Community Health Council's public meeting plans were outlined to add fluoride to the water supply to help reduce dental decay in the area. But furious residents fear that fluoride would make the water unsafe for some people.

"Miss Rosine de Bouneville accused the health authority of forcing unwanted medication on the public. 'This proposal is medication by force by the back door. If there is just one person who does not want to be given a dose of fluoride in his water, then it should not be forced upon him.'

"Another member of the public felt that fluoridated water would be self-intoxication. 'Some cancer experts believe that there is a correlation between the disease and fluoride. Several years ago a plot was unearthed in a foreign country where huge amounts of fluoride were to be added to the water supply to induce apathy amongst the population. Then there was to be a military take-over. We must think very carefully about the use of fluoride in our water supply.'

"Mr. Bob Long asked members of the Community Health Council to think about whether diet would not do more for tooth decay than adding fluoride to the water. 'I am also worried about the effect that water with fluoride additives might have on dialysis patients and people with heart conditions. Why can't people who need fluoride for their teeth be given special tablets so that the rest of us are not subjected to it? It is illegal of the health service to instruct the water authority to make the water unsafe for some people'."

This story shows what just a handful of campaigners can do to secure good publicity for their case. The fight against fluoride is particularly relevant right now as one of the Bills in the Queen's Speech in November is expected to be a law enabling health authorities to add fluoride to water supplies *without legal challenge*. Further information on the threat posed by compulsory nationwide pollution of our water supplies is available from the National Pure Water Association, "Southern Ash", Gilberts Lane, Whixall, near Whitchurch, Shropshire SY13 2PR. Remember — our personal liberty is at stake.

"LIVELY BELIEFS"

The following report was published last month in the local newsletter of Newcastle's National Front branch:

"Many of you may not know of *Candour* magazine. It was first published by the great patriot A. K. Chesterton in support of the League of Empire Loyalists and went on to support the N.F. One of our Newcastle N.F. members visited *Candour* and its publisher Rosine de Bouneville in Hampshire. He had an enjoyable time and wrote this article for *Newcastle Patriot*.

"The A. K. Chesterton Academy is run by *Candour* and holds seminars where young Nationalists can go to learn about the Nationalist movement and the views of A. K. Chesterton, founder of the N.F. The lady who hosts the seminars, Rosine de Bouneville, holds very lively beliefs and has many interesting views. She says that the biggest threat to our country has always been usury, but today it may be all those 'good people' who do absolutely nothing — all those people who did not want coloured immigration, the Common Market, abolition of capital punishment and so on, who now do nothing to fight back.

"On the subject of the E.E.C. and proposed European Union, which will make us a part of a Euro-United States, Rosine is very firm. She calls the E.E.C. a 'betrayal of Britain by the Establishment' and adds: 'We fought to keep out of the E.E.C. and failed, so we must not fail again before they call it treason to oppose our country being in Europe, or Spandau could be repopulated!' On the miners' strike and nuclear weapons, we should not side with either communists or capitalists, but evaluate the issues according to Britain's needs.

"Should we try to achieve power through parliamentary action? Here she differs from the N.F. — she says: 'Alas, no. It is the enemy's weapon. It was invented by the Money Power to make us what we are today. If we look to be getting strong, they would not allow us to achieve power. The system destroys people. You start to lie almost immediately when you want to get votes. If you think elections will get you reasonable votes and publicity, fine. Otherwise, they are a waste of time'."

CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES

U.K. £4.00

Overseas airmail £4.50

U.S.A. Dollar Rate \$10.00

Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants GU33 7DD

! TREASON !

EUROPEAN UNION

would be a

EUROPEAN GULAG



It would mean:-

- + Surrender of National Sovereignty
- + Annulment of our Constitution
- + Substitution of Community Laws
- + Abandonment of National currency
- + Endorsement of totalitarian rule

Hence:-

- + Supporting it is high treason
- + Silence upon it is misprision of treason



*YOUR Member of Parliament is guilty of
one or the other*

Find them out.

*Challenge them to speak
out the truth.*

Bring the criminals to justice.

SAVE OUR COUNTRY FROM EUROPEAN SLAVERY

! TREASON !

Learn more about your betrayal

Read CANDOUR — the British Views Letter

- + If it is the TRUTH you want
- + It's CANDOUR you need

Ask for a free introduction copy

Subscriptions (10 issues per year)

U.K.	£4.00
------	-------

Overseas airmail	£4.50
------------------	-------

U.S.A. Dollar Rate	\$10.00
--------------------	---------

Distributed by:-